## Will Married Son and Daughters Receive Less Shares of Inheritance?

#### **Darulifta Ahlesunnat (Dawateislami)**

### Question

What do the noble scholars and jurists of Islamic law say about the following: If someone married off some of his children during his life but some weren't married yet and he passed away, in this situation, the unmarried children say to their married brother, and especially to their married sisters, that our father spent a lot on your wedding and gave you dowry; that was your share, therefore you don't have any share in the inheritance anymore. Or, they say, 'first deduct the amount spent on the wedding and give that to us, only then will we distribute the inheritance.' Please provide Sharī'aĥ guidance on whether the children whom the father married off will receive any share from the inheritance. If so, will they receive a full share, or will they receive the remaining after deducting the wedding expenses?

#### **Answer**

# بِئىمِ اللهِ الرَّحْمٰنِ الرَّحِيْمِ اللهِ السَّالِ الرَّحِيْمِ اللهِ المَلِكِ الْوَهَّابِ اللَّهُمَّ هِذَايَةَ الْحَقِّ وَالصَّوَابِ

In the era of ignorance, people would take the inheritance of orphans, women, and the oppressed through different tricks and excuses, and this is explicitly condemned in the Qur'an and hadith. This virus is also found in some individuals of our society; they use different tactics to take over the shares of those whom they can pressurize, even though this isn't the way of Muslims nor the teachings of Islam. Rather, it is necessary to give the full share of inheritance to those for whom Islam has designated a share; otherwise, these people will fill their stomachs with the fire of Hell and be deserving of a painful punishment.

The situation described in the question 'that if a father married off his son or daughter or if he gave them dowry, they will not receive a share from the father's inheritance', is a completely invalid excuse and trick. This is because, firstly, it isn't Wajib upon the father nor is he obligated by Sharī'aĥ to bear the expenses of the children's weddings, which would be a reason for the expenses to be considered a debt in the inheritance (this isn't the case). Rather, the father bearing these expenses is voluntary (out of kindness), a favor, and moral goodness. Secondly, there are four reasons that an heir will not be given inheritance; if any one of the four reasons is found, one will not have any share in the inheritance. The children being married isn't one of those reasons. Therefore, in the inquired situation, for the unmarried brothers and sisters to not give their married brothers and sisters any share from the father's inheritance due to their wedding expenses, or to give them less share than they are deserving of is impermissible, haram, and unlawfully consuming of others wealth, which have been strictly prohibited in the Qur'an and hadith.

Warnings for those who unlawfully consume others' wealth:

Regarding those who don't distribute the inheritance properly, it is stated in the Noble Our'an:

Translation (Kanz-Ul-'Irfan): And whosoever disobeys Allah and His Messenger, and exceeds the boundaries of Allah, then Allah will make him enter Fire, he will remain therein forever; and for him there is a most humiliating punishment. (Part 4, Surah Al-Nisa', Verse 14)

Taking over others' shares of inheritance is the practice of the disbelievers, as the Qur'an has stated while mentioning this evils of the non-Muslim:

Translation (Kanz-Ul-'Irfan): And you consume all inheritance after amassing it. (Part 30, Surah Al-Fajr, Verse 19)

Under this verse, it is stated in Tafsir Sirat Al-Jinan:

یباں کفار کی تیسری خرابی اور ذلت کا بیان ہے کہ تم میراث کا مال کھاجاتے ہواور طلال و حرام میں تمیز نہیں کرتے اور عور توں اور بچوں کو وراثت کا صد نہیں و سیت ، بلکہ اُن کے حصے خود کھاجاتے ہو؛ جا بلیت میں بچو بہنوں ، بیٹیوں یا پو تیوں کو داشت نہیں دی جاتی ، وہ بھی اسی میں داخل ہے کہ شدید حرام ہے۔

کو لوگ بیٹیم بھتیجوں کے مال پر قبینہ کر لیستے ہیں یا روٹین میں جو بہنوں ، بیٹیوں یا پو تیوں کو داشت نہیں دی جاتی ، وہ بھی اسی میں داخل ہے کہ شدید حرام ہے۔

Translation: The third evil and disgrace of the disbelievers is mentioned here, which is that 'you consume the wealth of inheritance, don't distinguish between Halal and Haram, and don't give the women and children their share in inheritance, and rather consume them yourselves'. This was the practice in the era of ignorance. Many practices are included in this injustice and oppression. Nowadays, the uncles and similar individuals who take hold of the wealth of their orphan nephews and those who don't give inheritance to their sisters, daughters, and grandchildren, which is quite common, are also included, and this is strictly Haram. (Sirat Al-Jinan, Vol. 10, p.

Any form of depriving the heirs of their shares or giving them less than their share is unlawfully consuming wealth, and Allah Almighty states as a warning:

Translation (Kanz-Ul-'Irfan): And do not consume the wealth of one another unjustly. (Part 2, Surah Al-Baqarah, Verse 188)

It is stated in Tafsir Na'eemi under this verse:

668, Publ. Maktaba-Tul-Madinah, Karachi)

Translation: Bribery, usurpation, looting, theft, false oaths, gambling, fortune telling, injustice, and all other impermissible jobs are invalid sources of earning. This means neither spend your wealth in improper ways nor spend others' wealth after attaining it in an impermissible way. (Tafsir Na'eemi, Vol. 2, p. 232, Publ. Maktabah Islmaiyah, Lahore) It is stated in a hadith about the one who doesn't give an heir their inheritance:

Translation: The Messenger of Allah said: Whoever avoids giving the inheritance to his heirs, Allah will deprive him of his share in Jannah on the day of judgment. (Sunan Ibn Majah, Book of Will, p. 194, Publ. Karachi)

Not giving someone their inheritance will result in seizing someone else's land, regarding which it is stated in a hadith:

Translation: Whoever unjustly seizes a handspan of land, that extent taken from seven layers of earth will be placed around their necks on the day of judgement. (Sahih Al-Bukhari, Vol. 1, p. 454, Publ. Karachi)

It is stated in Mir'at Al-Manajeeh under this hadith:

Translation: Firstly, this thief will be made to wear the seven layers of earth around his neck. Then, he will be sunk into the ground... We get to know that seizing land is more severe than any other form of usurpation. (Mir'at Al-Manajeeh, Vol. 4, p. 361, Publ. Maktabah Islamiyah, Lahore)

Shaykh Al-Islam Wal-Muslimeen, The Imam of Ahl-Us-Sunnah, Imam Ahmad Raza Khan رحمة الله تعالى عليه states regarding the one who unlawfully seizes land:

Translation: Fear Allah, the subduer and omnipotent. Not a 'Man' (a measurement) or two, just hang twenty or twenty-five 'Sayr' (a measurement) of clods of dirt and roam around for an hour or two. Then just contemplate whether it is easier to end this great oppression (of usurpation of land) or to have seven layers of the earth dug up and tied around the neck while the accountability of all the people is taken. We ask for Allah's protection from being tied with the weight of millions of 'Man' and being sunk into the ground! والعيانياستعالى (We seek protection from Allah Most High)!

(Fatawa Razawiyyah, Vol. 19, p. 665, Publ. Raza Foundation, Lahore)

Proof regarding the situation described in the question:

The children who are alive at the time of their father's demise will be heirs to their father. Thus, it is stated in Radd Al-Muhtar:

(Radd Al-Muhtar 'Ala Al-Durr Al-Mukhtar, Book of inheritance, Vol. 10, p. 525, Publ. Quetta) Mentioning the reasons an heir will be deprived from their share of inheritance, Shaykh Al-Islam Wal-Muslimeen, The Imam of Ahl-Us-Sunnah, Imam Ahmad Raza Khan رحمة الله تعالى عليه states:

Translation: There are only four reasons for depriving one from inheritance: The heir being enslaved, being the killer of the deceased, being a disbeliever, and living in Dar Al-Harb. No other reason will prevent him from his Sharī'aĥ right. (Fatawa Razawiyah, Vol. 26, p. 291, Publ. Raza Foundation, Lahore)

The expenses that a father bears for his children's wedding are a gift and out of kindness as The Imam of Ahl-Us-Sunnah, Imam Ahmad Raza Khan رحمةالله تعالى عليه stated:

Translation: The money that Zaid (the father) spent on Abu-Muhammad's (the son) wedding is voluntary and a favor, which is the common practice, and Zaid (the father) cannot demand a return from anyone. (Fatawa Razawiyah, Vol. 12, p. 210, Publ. Raza Foundation, Lahore)

If the father didn't marry off a child, the unmarried child cannot subtract the wedding expenses from the inheritance. Thus, the Imam of Ahl-Us-Sunnah, Imam Ahmad Raza Khan رحمة الله تعالى عليه was asked, 'Khalid says that my father didn't get me married during his life, but he got all the others married, therefore, my wedding expenses should be subtracted from the inheritance and given to me separately. Will Khalid receive these expenses?' Replying to this question, He رحمة الله تعالى عليه said:

Translation: Demanding the expenses of the wedding is meaningless and has no basis in Sharī'aĥ. The expenses of the wedding weren't a debt upon Zaid (the father), which would allow for it to be taken from the inheritance, as is clear to the one who has connection knowledge. (Fatawa Razawiyah, Vol. 26, p. 170, Publ. Raza Foundation, Lahore)

Similarly, he رحمةالله تعالى عليه writes elsewhere in Fatawa Razawiyah that the wedding will not affect the inheritance. Thus, he writes:

Translation: Some of the children remaining unmarried during the father's life isn't a reason for their share to be greater than the share of the married children because inheritance is established after or at the time of death. By consensus, inheritance isn't established before death. And whatever some of the children had voluntarily received from the deceased before their passing couldn't possibly be counted in the inheritance. (Fatawa Razawiyah, Vol. 26, p. 76, Publ. Raza Foundation, Lahore)

**Note** – Sometimes, after the passing of the father, the elder brother spends the inheritance of the father on the expenses of the younger siblings' weddings. But the sibling for whom these expenses are being made isn't informed that these expenses

are being made from their share of the inheritance, nor that this amount will be deducted from their share. No such discussion takes place. Then, at the time of distributing the inheritance, these siblings aren't given a share of the inheritance, even though in the case that the siblings' wedding expenses or the sister's dowry was prepared without discussing that these expenses would be deducted, their shares are not eliminated, nor are the expenses to be deducted from their share. Instead, they will receive their full share. However, if this discussion was done beforehand, then guidance should be sought by providing the details to Darul Ifta' Ahl-us-Sunnah or any reliable Sunni Mufti in your locality, and their guidance should be followed. One should not make decisions on their own and usurp someone else's rights.

Regarding when a brother covers the expenses of his sister's wedding after the passing of their father, it is stated in Fatawa Razawiyah:

مصارف شادی : عبارتِ سوال میں مذکور کہ دونوں قاصرہ (وُلہنی) وقتِ شادی جوان تھیں اور سائل نے بعدِ استفسار بذریعہ تحریر اظہار کیا کہ مصارفِ عروسی وجہیز سب بحر (بعائی) نے محض اپنی رائے سے کئے ، والدہ کا انتقال دو نوں قاصرہ کی شادی سے پہلے ہوا، اور بہنیں ان کی شادیوں میں عام بیگا نوں کی طرح شریک ہُوئیں نہ ان سے دربارہ صَرف کوئی استفسارہوا ، نہ اُن کا کوئی اذن ، نہ قاصرات سے کہا گیا کہ ہم یہ صَرف تہارے صنہ سے کرتے یا جہیز تہارے حضے میں دیتے ہیں اور واقعی ہمارے بلاد میں مصارفِ شادی کو اُرادیوں سے پُوچھ کر نہیں ہوتے ، نہ اُن سے اس امر میں کوئی اذن لیاجا تا ہے ، پس اگربیانِ مذکور صحح ہے توجو کچھ مصارف بالائی جس قاصرہ کی شادی میں ہُوئے ، وہ وُلہن کے صنہ سے مُجرا(ما مَنس) نہیں ہوسکتے ۔ ۔ ۔ ان (بہن کی شادی کی ساتھ تبرع واحسان ہوا ، جو کسی سے مُجرانہ پائے گا۔ (یعنی ما مَنس نہ ہوگا) سب صَرف اسی کے حصہ پر پڑے گا۔ نواہ صنما نا خواہ تصاصاً ۔ دو سر سے ورثہ جنہوں نے نہ نوو صَرف کیا نہ صراحۃ اذن دیا ، (وہ اس سے) ہری رہیں گے اگر چہ اُنہوں نے صَرف ہوتے دیکھا ۔

Translation: It is stated in the question that both brides were young at the time of their marriage and the guestioner informed on further inquiry: Bakr (the brother) made the decisions of the expenses of the wedding and the dowry on his own, the mother passed away before the wedding of both brides, and they attended the wedding as guests; neither where they asked about the expenses, neither were they informed that these expenses are being made from your share, nor that the dowry is being given to them as their share of inheritance. This is the reality that in our communities, the unmarried are not asked about the expenses of their weddings, nor are they asked for permission about it at all. Therefore, if the above-mentioned statements are true, the expenses made in the wedding of the brides will not be deducted from her share...Whatever expenses Bakr made in the wedding (of his sister) are voluntary and a goodness with the sisters for which he will not receive any return. All the expenses are on him, whether as a Daman or as a Qisas. The other heirs whom neither made any expenses nor explicitly gave permission are not responsible either, even if they saw the expenses being made. (Fatawa Razawiyah, Vol. 12, p. 215, Publ. Raza Foundation, Lahore)

It is stated in Fatawa Khaliliyah:

بیٹی کی شادی میں جو کچھ خرچ کیا گیا ، اگران سے خرچ کے بارہے میں کوئی استفسار نہ کیا گیا ، نہ اجازت لی گئی ، تویہ رقم دولہن کے حصہ سے مُجرا (ما مَنس) نہ کی جائے گی ، بلکہ خرچ کرنے والااس کا ذمہ دار ہوگا۔۔۔۔ لہٰذا یہ خرچ بہن کے ساتھ احسان مانا جائے گا۔

Translation: If the daughter wasn't asked or sought permission regarding the expenses which were made in the daughter's wedding, this will not be deducted from the bride's share; instead, the one who is spending will be responsible... Therefore, this spending will be considered a favor to the sister. (Fatawa Khaliliyah, Vol. 3, p. 483, Publ. Ziya' Al-Qur'an Publications, Karachi)

وَاللَّهُ اَعْلَمُ عَزَّوَجَلَّ وَرَسُولُه اَعْلَم صَلَّى اللَّهُ تَعَالَى عَلَيْهِ وَالهِ وَسَلَّم

(Allah Almighty knows best and His Messenger صَلِّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَاللهِ وَسَلَّم knows best.)

Answered By: Mufti Muhammad Qasim Attari

Ref No: Aqs-2743

Date: 4th Sha'ban 1446 AH/ 3rd February 2025

