Answering Mother's Call During Salah - Hadith Explanation

Darulifta Ahlesunnat

(Dawateislami)

Question

What do the noble scholars and jurists of Islamic law say about the following: Is there any such Hadith in which The Messenger of Allah مىلى stated, 'If I were offering Salah and my mother would call me, I would definitely reply to her'?

Yes Indeed! Such a Hadith can be found in Kanz al-'Ummal, Shu'b al-Iman, Kashf al-Khifa', etc. The scholars of Hadith have graded this Hadith 'Da'eef' because the Sanad (chain of narrators) has a narrator named Yasin Bin Mu'az, whom the scholars of Hadith have graded 'Da'eef'. Remember, the ruling of nullifying the Nafl salah on the mother's call is proven from a Sahih Hadith (in which there is a mention of a worshipper from Bani Israel named 'Juraij'). This is why the scholars have mentioned the ruling that if one is offering Nafl salah, but his parents don't know and they call for him, he should nullify his Salah.

Though the Hadith about the Rahib (worshiper) 'Juraij' mentions an occurrence from the previous nation, those rulings of the previous Sharī'aĥ that have been mentioned in the Qur'an and Hadith but weren't prohibited are practicable in our Sharī'aĥ. There is no such word in this Hadith that indicates it being Mansookh (abrogated), nor have the commentators of Hadith mentioned any such comments. Rather, based on this Hadith, they have extracted the ruling of nullifying the Nafl Salah upon the parents' call if they don't know that their child is offering Salah.

It is stated in *Shu'b al-Iman* about the Hadith mentioned in the question:

اخبرناابوالحسين بن بشران، اناابو جعفر الرزاز، نايحيى بن جعفر، انازيد بن الحباب، ناياسين بن معان، ناعبد الله بن مرثد، عن طلق بن على، قال: سمعت رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يقول: لو

ادركت والدي او احدهما وانافي صلاة العشاء ، وقد قرات فيها بفاتحة الكتاب تنادي: يامحمد ، لاجبتها: لبيك . ياسين بن معاذ ضعيف

Translation: It has been narrated by Talq Bin Ali that he said, I heard The Messenger of Allah صلى الله تعالى عليه وسلم saying, "If I had been with my parents, or any one of them, and while offering Isha salah, in which I had recited al-Fatihah, they would call out my name, I would reply to them by saying Labbaik." Yasin Bin Mu'az is Da'eef.(Shu'b al-Iman, Vol. 10, p. 284, Hadith No. 7497, Publ. Riyad)

It is stated in *Kashf al-Khifa'*:

Translation: If my parents or any one of them would call me while I was offering salah, I would definitely reply to them. This Hadith is Da'eef.(Kashf al-Khifa', Vol. 2, p. 160, Hadith No. 2110 Publ. Cairo)

It is stated in Al-Durr al-Mansoor:

Translation: Imam al-Bayhaqi has mentioned this Hadith on the authority of Talq Bin Ali and graded it Da'eef. Talq Bin Ali said: I heard The Messenger of Allah صلى saying, "If I was with my parents or any one of them, and while offering Isha salah and having recited al-Fatiha, they would call my name, I would definitely answer them by saying Labbaik." (Al-Durr al-Mansoor, Vol. 5, p. 266, Publ. Beirut)

The Hadith mentioned in Sahih Bukhari about 'Juraij' is: عن أبي هريرة، عن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم، قال: "لم يتكلم في المهد إلا ثلاثة: عيسى، وكان في بني إسرائيل رجل يقال له جريج، كان يصلي، جاءته أمه فدعته، فقال: أجيبها أو أصلي، فقالت: اللهم لا تمته حتى تريه وجوه الموسسات، وكان جريج في صومعته، فتعرضت له امرأة وكلمته فأبى، فأتت راعيا فأمكنته من نفسها، فولدت غلاما، فقالت: من جريج فأتوه فكسروا صومعته فأبى، فأتت راعيا فأمكنته من نفسها، فولدت غلاما، فقالت: من جريج فأتوه فكسروا صومعته

وأنزلوه وسبوه، فتوضأ وصلى ثم أتى الغلام، فقال: من أبوك يا غلام؟ قال: الراعي، قالوا: نبني صومعتك من ذهب؟ قال: لا، إلا من طين

Translation: It is narrated from Abu Hurairah رفى الله تعالىءنده that The Messenger of Allah صلى said: Three people have spoken in their cradles, (First) Esa عليه السلام, (Second) a man from Bani Israel named Juraij. He was offering salah and his mother came and called him. He thought, should I reply to her, or should I continue praying? Then she made a supplication against him, 'Oh Allah! Don't let him die until he sees the faces of fornicators.' While Juraij was in his hermitage, a woman came to him and seduces him, but he denied. Then she went and fornicated with a shepherd and gave birth to a child. She said, 'This belongs to Juraij.' The people came, broke his hermitage, exiled him, and cursed him. He performed wudu, offered Salah then came to the child and said, 'Who is your father, o child.' He replied, 'The shepherd.' The people said, 'We will make your hermitage with gold', to which he replied, 'No, just of clay.' (Sahih Al-Bukhari, Vol. 4, p. 165, Hadith No. 3436, Publ. Dar Tawq al-Najah)

It is stated in 'Umdah al-Qari in the explanation of this Hadith: فيه إيثار إجابة الأم على صلاة التطوع، لأن إجابة الأم واجبة فلا تترك لأجل النافلة

Translation: This shows that answering the mother's call is greater than Nafl salah because answering the mother's call is Wajib. Therefore, it cannot be ignored because of Nafl salah. ('Umdah al-Qari, Vol. 16, p. 31, Publ. Beirut)

Mentioning the reason for nullifying the Nafl salah on the mother's call, it is stated in *Sharah Mushkil al-Asaar* and *Al-Mu'tasar Min al-Mukhtasar* (the text is from the former):

لأنه قديستطيع ترك صلاته وإجابته لأمه لما عليه أن يجيبها فيه والعود إلى صلاته؛ ولأن صلاته إذا فاتت قضاها وبره أمه إذا فات لم يستطع قضاءه ، وقد دلك على ذلك ماروي عن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم في جريج الراهب

Translation: This is because the worshipper can leave the salah and answer his mother, as responding to his mother is incumbent upon him. After answering, he can return to offering the salah because Qada (making up) for the salah is possible whereas Qada (making up) for the mother's obedience isn't possible. The narration of The Holy Prophet صلى about the worshipper Juraij proves this.(Sharah Mushkil al-Asaar, Vol. 4, p. 164, Publ. Beirut)

Mentioning the reasoning behind nullifying the Nafl salah upon the mother's call, it is stated in *Radd al-Muhtar* as follows:

Translation: because a worshiper from the Bani Israel was reprehended due to not answering his mother. The Holy Prophet صد

said, the summary of which is: if he were a Faqih (jurist), he would have replied to his mother. This ruling is for when the parents don't know that he is offering Salah. If they are aware, it isn't necessary to reply. (Radd al-Muhtar, Vol. 1, p. 655, Publ. Beirut)

It is stated in Bahar-e-Shari'at:

ماں باپ، دادادادی وغیر ہ اصول کے محض بلانے سے نماز قطع کرنا، جائز نہیں، البتہ اگر ان کا پُکار نا بھی کسی بڑی مصیبت کے لیے ہو، جیسے اوپر مذکور ہواتو توڑد ہے، یہ حکم فرض کا ہے اور اگر نفل نماز ہے اور ان کو معلوم ہے کہ نماز پڑھتا ہے، توان کے معمولی پُکار نے سے نماز نہ توڑے اور اس کا نماز پڑھنا انہیں معلوم نہ ہواور پکارا، تو توڑ دے اور جواب دے، اگر چے معمولی طور سے بلائیں۔

Translation: It isn't permissible to leave the salah upon the call of one's mother, father, grandfather, grandmother, etc. from the elders. However, if they are calling due to an emergency, as mentioned above, one can leave the salah. This is the ruling for a Fard salah. As for Nafl salah, if they are aware of him offering salah, then he shouldn't leave his salah because of their subtle call. If they don't know that he is offering salah, he should nullify his salah and answer them, even if it is a subtle call. (Bahar-e-Shari'at, Vol. 1, Sect. 3, p. 638, Publ. Maktaba-tul-Madinah, Karachi)

Can we act upon the rulings of the previous Sharī'aĥ or not? Regarding this, it is stated in *Usool al-Sarakhsi*:

اصح الاقاويل عندناان ما ثبت بكتاب الله انه كان شريعة من قبلنا اوببيان من رسول الله صلى الله تعالى عليه و آله وسلم فان علينا العمل به على انه شريعة لنبينا عليه المملام مالم يظهر ناسخه

Translation: According to us, the 'Asahh' (most accurate) opinion is that we must act upon the rulings of the previous Sharī'aĥ which are mentioned in the Holy Qur'an or the Hadith as they are also included in our Sharī'aĥ until abrogation. (Usool al-Sarakhsi, Vol. 2, p. 99, Publ. Beirut)

In the explanation of the Hadith of Juraij mentioned in Sahih Bukhari, it is stated in 'Umdah al-Qari:

Translation: Mentioning what can be derived from it: This includes the argument that the Sharī'aĥ of those before us is also considered our Sharī'aĥ. ('Umdah al-Qari, Vol. 13, p. 39, Publ. Beirut)
It is stated in *Badai' al-Sanai'*:

Translation: It is stated in Usool al-Fiqh that the rulings of the previous Sharī'aĥ that Allah has mentioned to us without any abrogation will become like a new ruling for us in our Sharī'aĥ. We must act upon it because it is part of our Sharī'aĥ, not because it was part of the previous Sharī'aĥ.(Badai' al-Sanai', Vol. 4, p. 173, Publ. Beirut)

It is stated in *Fatawa Razawiyah*:

Translation: The Sharai' (pl. of Sharī'aĥ) of those before us are proof till our Sharī'aĥ prohibits it.(Fatawa Razawiyah, Vol. 22, p. 549, Publ. Raza Foundation, Lahore)

وَاللَّهُ أَعْلَمُ عَزَّوَجَلَّ وَرَسُولُهِ أَعْلَم صَلَّى اللهُ تَعَالَى عَلَيْهِ وَالِهِ وَسَلَّم

(Allah Almighty knows best and His Messenger صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَ الِهِ وَسَلَّم knows best.)

Answered By: Abu Turab, Muhammad Ali Attari Verified By: Mufti Muhammad Qasim Attari

Ref No: Pin-7368

Date: 7th Jumad Al-Ukhra 1445 AH/21st December 2023



Dar-ul-IftaAhlesunnat (Dawat-e-Islami)



www.fatwaqa.com



daruliftaahlesunnat



DaruliftaAhlesunnat



Dar-ul-ifta AhleSunnat



feedback@daruliftaahlesunnat.net